Hitting probabilities for general Gaussian processes

Eulalia Nualart

Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona

joint work with Frederi Viens (Purdue)

NSF/CBMS Conference: Analysis of SPDEs

Michigan State University, August 2013

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 Let B = (B(t), t ∈ ℝ₊) be a centered continuous Gaussian process in ℝ such that for some ℓ ≥ 1, some continuous strictly increasing function γ : ℝ₊ → ℝ₊ with lim₀ γ = 0, and for all s, t ∈ ℝ₊,

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\gamma^{2}(|t-s|) \leq \mathrm{E}[|B(t) - B(s)|^{2}] \leq \ell\gamma^{2}(|t-s|). \tag{1}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 Let B = (B(t), t ∈ ℝ₊) be a centered continuous Gaussian process in ℝ such that for some ℓ ≥ 1, some continuous strictly increasing function γ : ℝ₊ → ℝ₊ with lim₀ γ = 0, and for all s, t ∈ ℝ₊,

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\gamma^{2}(|t-s|) \leq \mathrm{E}[|B(t) - B(s)|^{2}] \leq \ell\gamma^{2}(|t-s|).$$
(1)

• We also assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\operatorname{Var}\boldsymbol{B}\left(t\right) = \gamma^{2}\left(t\right). \tag{2}$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 Let B = (B(t), t ∈ ℝ₊) be a centered continuous Gaussian process in ℝ such that for some ℓ ≥ 1, some continuous strictly increasing function γ : ℝ₊ → ℝ₊ with lim₀ γ = 0, and for all s, t ∈ ℝ₊,

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\gamma^{2}(|t-s|) \leq \mathrm{E}[|B(t) - B(s)|^{2}] \leq \ell\gamma^{2}(|t-s|). \tag{1}$$

• We also assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\operatorname{Var}\boldsymbol{B}\left(t\right) = \gamma^{2}\left(t\right). \tag{2}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 We use the same notation B to designate a vector of d iid copies of the scalar version of B.

 Let B = (B(t), t ∈ ℝ₊) be a centered continuous Gaussian process in ℝ such that for some ℓ ≥ 1, some continuous strictly increasing function γ : ℝ₊ → ℝ₊ with lim₀ γ = 0, and for all s, t ∈ ℝ₊,

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\gamma^{2}(|t-s|) \leq \mathrm{E}[|B(t) - B(s)|^{2}] \leq \ell\gamma^{2}(|t-s|). \tag{1}$$

• We also assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\operatorname{Var}\boldsymbol{B}\left(t\right) = \gamma^{2}\left(t\right). \tag{2}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- We use the same notation B to designate a vector of d iid copies of the scalar version of B.
- Note that γ does not define the law of B since distinct processes with the same variance function γ may satisfy (1).

 Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter *H* ∈ (0, 1). In this case, ℓ = 1 and γ(t) = t^H.

- Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter *H* ∈ (0, 1). In this case, *ℓ* = 1 and *γ*(*t*) = *t^H*.
- Riemann-Liouville fractional Brownian motion with parameter H :

$$B^{RL,H}\left(t\right):=\sqrt{2H}\int_{0}^{t}\left(t-s\right)^{H-1/2}dW\left(s\right),$$

where *W* is a standard Brownian motion. In this case, $\ell = 2$ and $\gamma(t) = t^{H}$.

- Fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$. In this case, $\ell = 1$ and $\gamma(t) = t^{H}$.
- Riemann-Liouville fractional Brownian motion with parameter H :

$$B^{\mathsf{RL},H}\left(t\right):=\sqrt{2H}\int_{0}^{t}\left(t-s\right)^{H-1/2}dW\left(s\right),$$

where *W* is a standard Brownian motion. In this case, $\ell = 2$ and $\gamma(t) = t^{H}$.

 Solutions to the fractional stochastic heat equation with additive noise whose space behavior is of Riesz-kernel type :

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + \dot{W}^{H,\alpha}, \ t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ u(0,x) = 0,$$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

with $\alpha \in [0, d)$. The solution exists if and only if $d < 4H + \alpha$. In this case, $\gamma(t) = t^{H - \frac{d - \alpha}{4}}$.

Volterra processes defined as

$$B^{\gamma}(t) := \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\left(rac{d\gamma^{2}}{dt}
ight)(t-s)} dW(s),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where γ^2 is of class $C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 0)$, $\lim_0 \gamma = 0$, and γ^2 is increasing and concave $(d\gamma^2/dr$ is non-increasing).

In this case, (1) and (2) hold with $\ell = 2$ and γ .

Volterra processes defined as

$$B^{\gamma}(t) := \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\left(rac{d\gamma^{2}}{dt}
ight)(t-s)} dW(s),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

where γ^2 is of class $C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 0)$, $\lim_0 \gamma = 0$, and γ^2 is increasing and concave $(d\gamma^2/dr$ is non-increasing).

In this case, (1) and (2) hold with $\ell = 2$ and γ .

• Mocioalca and Viens'04 : Stochastic calculus with respect to B^{γ} .

Volterra processes defined as

$$B^{\gamma}(t) := \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\left(rac{d\gamma^{2}}{dt}
ight)(t-s)} dW(s),$$

where γ^2 is of class $C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 0)$, $\lim_0 \gamma = 0$, and γ^2 is increasing and concave $(d\gamma^2/dr$ is non-increasing).

In this case, (1) and (2) hold with $\ell = 2$ and γ .

- Mocioalca and Viens'04 : Stochastic calculus with respect to B^{γ} .
- Mocioalca and Viens'09 : Existence and uniqueness and space regularity of the stochastic heat equation :

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + \dot{W}^{\gamma}, \ t \ge 0, \ x \in S^1.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Volterra processes defined as

$$B^{\gamma}(t) := \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\left(rac{d\gamma^{2}}{dt}
ight)(t-s)} dW(s),$$

where γ^2 is of class $C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 0)$, $\lim_0 \gamma = 0$, and γ^2 is increasing and concave $(d\gamma^2/dr$ is non-increasing).

In this case, (1) and (2) hold with $\ell = 2$ and γ .

- Mocioalca and Viens'04 : Stochastic calculus with respect to B^{γ} .
- Mocioalca and Viens'09 : Existence and uniqueness and space regularity of the stochastic heat equation :

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + \dot{W}^{\gamma}, \ t \ge 0, \ x \in S^1.$$

• Nualart and Viens'09 : Hitting probabilities for the stochastic heat equation :

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + \dot{W}^H, \ t \ge 0, \ x \in S^1.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $H \in (0, 1)$.

• When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.
- When $\beta > 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more irregular than fBm.

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.
- When $\beta > 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more irregular than fBm.
- When $\beta < 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more regular than fBm.

 Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.
- When $\beta > 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more irregular than fBm.
- When $\beta < 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more regular than fBm.
- In any case, $B^{H,\beta}$ is α -Hölder continuous a.s. if $\alpha < H$.

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.
- When $\beta > 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more irregular than fBm.
- When $\beta < 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more regular than fBm.
- In any case, $B^{H,\beta}$ is α -Hölder continuous a.s. if $\alpha < H$.
- If β < −1/2, B^{H,β} is a.s. H-Hölder continuous, but not α-Hölder continuous a.s. if α > H.

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

- When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.
- When $\beta > 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more irregular than fBm.
- When $\beta < 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more regular than fBm.
- In any case, $B^{H,\beta}$ is α -Hölder continuous a.s. if $\alpha < H$.
- If β < −1/2, B^{H,β} is a.s. H-Hölder continuous, but not α-Hölder continuous a.s. if α > H.
- In any case, $r \to r^H \log^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ is an a.s. modulus of continuity of $B^{H,\beta}$.

Class of Gaussian processes B^{H,β} satisfying (1) and (2) with, for every r in a closed interval in [0, 1),

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \gamma_{H,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{r}^H \log^\beta(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}),$$

- When $\beta = 0$, $B^{H,0}$ shares the same hitting probabilities than fBm.
- When $\beta > 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more irregular than fBm.
- When $\beta < 0$, $B^{H,\beta}$ is much more regular than fBm.
- In any case, $B^{H,\beta}$ is α -Hölder continuous a.s. if $\alpha < H$.
- If β < −1/2, B^{H,β} is a.s. H-Hölder continuous, but not α-Hölder continuous a.s. if α > H.
- In any case, $r \to r^H \log^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ is an a.s. modulus of continuity of $B^{H,\beta}$.
- We also consider $H = 1, \beta > 0$, or $H = 0, \beta < -1/2$.

Hitting probabilities : lower bound

• Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).

Hitting probabilities : lower bound

- Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).
- Theorem 1 : If γ is concave near the origin and γ'(0+) = +∞, then for all 0 < a < b and M > 0, there exists C(a, b, M, B) > 0 such that for any Borel set A ⊂ [−M, M]^d

$$\mathcal{CC}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathcal{B}([a,b]) \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \varnothing),$$

where $C_{K}(A)$ denotes the capacity of the set A with respect to K

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{K}}(\boldsymbol{A}) := \left[\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{A})} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathrm{K}(|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|) \, \mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{y})\right]^{-1},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denotes the set of probability measures with support in *A*, and K is the potential kernel

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}) := \max\left\{\mathbf{1}; \mathbf{v}\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) := \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}} d\mathbf{s}/\gamma^{d}(\mathbf{s}).$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Hitting probabilities : lower bound

- Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).
- Theorem 1 : If γ is concave near the origin and γ'(0+) = +∞, then for all 0 < a < b and M > 0, there exists C(a, b, M, B) > 0 such that for any Borel set A ⊂ [−M, M]^d

 $\mathcal{CC}_{K}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathrm{P}(\mathcal{B}([a,b]) \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \varnothing),$

where $C_{K}(A)$ denotes the capacity of the set A with respect to K

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{K}}(\boldsymbol{A}) := \left[\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{A})} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathrm{K}(|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|) \, \mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{y})\right]^{-1},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denotes the set of probability measures with support in *A*, and K is the potential kernel

$$\mathrm{K}(x) := \max\left\{1; v\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(x\right)\right)\right\}, \quad v\left(r\right) := \int_{r}^{b-a} ds / \gamma^{d}\left(s\right).$$

• Remark : If $\gamma(r) = r^H$, then dH > 1 if and only if $K(x) \simeq x^{-d+\frac{1}{H}}$.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Corollary 1 : If γ is concave near the origin, γ'(0+) = +∞, and 1/γ^d is integrable at 0, then the process B hits points with positive probability.

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

- Corollary 1 : If γ is concave near the origin, γ'(0+) = +∞, and 1/γ^d is integrable at 0, then the process B hits points with positive probability.
- Theorem 2 : $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable around zero if and only if the local time $L_t(x)$ of the process *B* exists, is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $t \ge 0$ a.s. and

$$L_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} e^{i\xi \cdot B(t)} d\xi dt.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Corollary 1 : If γ is concave near the origin, γ'(0+) = +∞, and 1/γ^d is integrable at 0, then the process B hits points with positive probability.
- Theorem 2 : $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable around zero if and only if the local time $L_t(x)$ of the process *B* exists, is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $t \ge 0$ a.s. and

$$L_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} e^{i\xi \cdot B(t)} d\xi dt.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• See German and Horowitz'80.

- Corollary 1 : If γ is concave near the origin, γ'(0+) = +∞, and 1/γ^d is integrable at 0, then the process B hits points with positive probability.
- Theorem 2 : $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable around zero if and only if the local time $L_t(x)$ of the process *B* exists, is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $t \ge 0$ a.s. and

$$L_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} e^{i\xi \cdot B(t)} d\xi dt.$$

- See German and Horowitz'80.
- Corollary 2 : If $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable at 0, then the process *B* hits points with positive probability.

- Corollary 1 : If γ is concave near the origin, γ'(0+) = +∞, and 1/γ^d is integrable at 0, then the process B hits points with positive probability.
- Theorem 2 : $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable around zero if and only if the local time $L_t(x)$ of the process *B* exists, is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $t \ge 0$ a.s. and

$$L_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} e^{i\xi \cdot B(t)} d\xi dt.$$

- See German and Horowitz'80.
- Corollary 2 : If $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable at 0, then the process *B* hits points with positive probability.

• Remark : If $\gamma(r) = r^{H}$, then dH < 1 if and only if $1/\gamma^{d}$ is integrable around zero.

• Hypothesis 1 : For all $0 < a < b < \infty$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c_0 \in (0, 1/\sqrt{\ell})$, such that for all $s, t \in [a, b]$ with $0 < t - s \le \varepsilon$,

 $\gamma(t) - \gamma(s) \leq c_0 \gamma(t-s).$

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

Hypothesis 1 : For all 0 < a < b < ∞, there exists ε > 0 and c₀ ∈ (0, 1/√ℓ), such that for all s, t ∈ [a, b] with 0 < t − s ≤ ε,

$$\gamma(t) - \gamma(s) \leq c_0 \gamma(t-s).$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• Lemma 1 : Assume Hypothesis 1. Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and c(a, b, B) > 0 such that for all $s, t \in [a, b]$ with $|t - s| \le \varepsilon$, $Var(B(t)|B(s)) > c\gamma^2(|t - s|).$

Hypothesis 1 : For all 0 < a < b < ∞, there exists ε > 0 and c₀ ∈ (0, 1/√ℓ), such that for all s, t ∈ [a, b] with 0 < t − s ≤ ε,

$$\gamma(t) - \gamma(s) \leq c_0 \gamma(t-s).$$

• Lemma 1 : Assume Hypothesis 1. Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and c(a, b, B) > 0 such that for all $s, t \in [a, b]$ with $|t - s| \le \varepsilon$, $Var(B(t)|B(s)) > c\gamma^2(|t - s|).$

Remark : If γ is concave near the origin and γ'(0+) = +∞, then the conclusion holds for any c (a, b) < γ⁴ (a) / (2ℓ γ⁴ (b)) and for some ε > 0 small enough.

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

Hypothesis 1 : For all 0 < a < b < ∞, there exists ε > 0 and c₀ ∈ (0, 1/√ℓ), such that for all s, t ∈ [a, b] with 0 < t − s ≤ ε,

$$\gamma(t) - \gamma(s) \leq c_0 \gamma(t-s).$$

• Lemma 1 : Assume Hypothesis 1. Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and c(a, b, B) > 0 such that for all $s, t \in [a, b]$ with $|t - s| \le \varepsilon$, $Var(B(t)|B(s)) > c\gamma^2(|t - s|).$

- Remark : If γ is concave near the origin and γ'(0+) = +∞, then the conclusion holds for any c (a, b) < γ⁴ (a) / (2ℓ γ⁴ (b)) and for some ε > 0 small enough.
- Second moment argument similar as in Biermé, Lacaux and Xiao'09. See also Testard'86.

• Let B in \mathbb{R} satisfying (1) and (2).



- Let B in \mathbb{R} satisfying (1) and (2).
- Proposition 1 : Assume that there exists $k, y_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0, y_0]$

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} \gamma(xy) \frac{dy}{y\sqrt{\log(1/y)}} \leq k\gamma(x).$$
(3)

Then there exist constants $L(\gamma, y_0)$ and $t_0(B)$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all a, b such that 0 < a < b and $b - a \leq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(z \in B([a, b])) \leq \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma(a)}\gamma(b-a).$$

- Let B in \mathbb{R} satisfying (1) and (2).
- Proposition 1 : Assume that there exists $k, y_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0, y_0]$

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} \gamma(xy) \frac{dy}{y\sqrt{\log(1/y)}} \leq k\gamma(x).$$
(3)

Then there exist constants $L(\gamma, y_0)$ and $t_0(B)$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all a, b such that 0 < a < b and $b - a \leq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(z \in B([a, b])) \leq \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma(a)}\gamma(b-a).$$

• Example : Condition (3) is satisfied for $\gamma_{H,\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \ge 0$, H = 1. Not satisfied for H = 0, $\beta < -1/2$.

- Let B in \mathbb{R} satisfying (1) and (2).
- Proposition 1 : Assume that there exists $k, y_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0, y_0]$

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} \gamma(xy) \frac{dy}{y\sqrt{\log(1/y)}} \leq k\gamma(x).$$
(3)

Then there exist constants $L(\gamma, y_0)$ and $t_0(B)$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all a, b such that 0 < a < b and $b - a \leq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(z \in B([a, b])) \leq \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma(a)}\gamma(b-a).$$

- Example : Condition (3) is satisfied for $\gamma_{H,\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \ge 0$, H = 1. Not satisfied for H = 0, $\beta < -1/2$.
- Lemma 2 : Define ind γ := inf {α > 0 : γ (x) = o (x^α)}. Assume γ is continuous and increasing, and ind γ ∈ (0,∞). Then γ satisfies condition (3).

Upper bound : probability hitting points in dim 1

- Let B in \mathbb{R} satisfying (1) and (2).
- Proposition 1 : Assume that there exists $k, y_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0, y_0]$

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} \gamma(xy) \frac{dy}{y\sqrt{\log(1/y)}} \leq k\gamma(x).$$
(3)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Then there exist constants $L(\gamma, y_0)$ and $t_0(B)$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all a, b such that 0 < a < b and $b - a \leq t_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(z \in B([a, b])) \leq \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma(a)}\gamma(b-a).$$

- Example : Condition (3) is satisfied for $\gamma_{H,\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \ge 0$, H = 1. Not satisfied for H = 0, $\beta < -1/2$.
- Lemma 2 : Define ind γ := inf {α > 0 : γ (x) = o (x^α)}. Assume γ is continuous and increasing, and ind γ ∈ (0,∞). Then γ satisfies condition (3).
- Example : ind $\gamma_{H,\beta} = H$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

• Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).

• Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).

Proposition 2 : Under assumption (3), for all 0 < a < b < ∞, with b − a small enough, and for all z ∈ ℝ^d and ε > 0,

$$\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{B}([\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]) \cap \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{z}\,,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset) \leq \left(\varepsilon \frac{2\kappa\gamma\,(\boldsymbol{b})}{\gamma^2\,(\boldsymbol{a})}\left(1 + \frac{1}{F(|\boldsymbol{z}|)}\right) + \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma\,(\boldsymbol{a})}\gamma\,(\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{a})\right)^d,$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\kappa := P\left[\inf_{[a,b]} B > \gamma(b)\right]$, F(z) := 1 for $z \le \gamma(b)$ and $F(z) := 1 - e^{-2\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\gamma^{2}(b)/z^{2}\right)}$ for $z > \gamma(b)$

• Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).

Proposition 2 : Under assumption (3), for all 0 < a < b < ∞, with b − a small enough, and for all z ∈ ℝ^d and ε > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(B([a,b]) \cap B(z,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset) \leq \left(\varepsilon \frac{2\kappa\gamma(b)}{\gamma^2(a)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{F(|z|)}\right) + \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma(a)}\gamma(b-a)\right)^d,$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\kappa := P\left[\inf_{[a,b]} B > \gamma(b)\right]$, F(z) := 1 for $z \le \gamma(b)$ and $F(z) := 1 - e^{-2\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\gamma^{2}(b)/z^{2}\right)}$ for $z > \gamma(b)$

• Note that $\kappa > 0$ because *B* is Gaussian and a > 0.

• Let *B* in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying (1) and (2).

Proposition 2 : Under assumption (3), for all 0 < a < b < ∞, with b − a small enough, and for all z ∈ ℝ^d and ε > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(B([a,b]) \cap B(z\,,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset) \leq \left(\varepsilon \frac{2\kappa\gamma\,(b)}{\gamma^2\,(a)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{F\left(|z|\right)}\right) + \frac{L\sqrt{\ell}}{\gamma\,(a)}\gamma\,(b-a)\right)^d,$$

where $\kappa := P\left[\inf_{[a,b]} B > \gamma(b)\right]$, F(z) := 1 for $z \le \gamma(b)$ and $F(z) := 1 - e^{-2\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\gamma^{2}(b)/z^{2}\right)}$ for $z > \gamma(b)$

- Note that $\kappa > 0$ because *B* is Gaussian and a > 0.
- Note that, since $\operatorname{arctanh}(x)$ is equivalent to x for x small, for large z, 1/F(z) is equivalent to $z^2/(2\gamma^2(b))$.

• It suffices to prove the Proposition for B in \mathbb{R} .

- It suffices to prove the Proposition for B in \mathbb{R} .
- The event whose probability we need to estimate is

$$D := \{B([a, b]) \cap B(z, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\} = \left\{ \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 0 < \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\} \cup \{B([a, b]) \ni z\} =: D_1 \cup D_2.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- It suffices to prove the Proposition for B in \mathbb{R} .
- The event whose probability we need to estimate is

$$D := \{B([a, b]) \cap B(z, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\} = \left\{ \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 0 < \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\} \cup \{B([a, b]) \ni z\} =: D_1 \cup D_2$$

• Observe that the random variable $Z := \inf_{s \in [a,b]} |B(s) - z|$ has an atom at 0 and that D_1 and D_2 are disjoint.

- It suffices to prove the Proposition for B in \mathbb{R} .
- The event whose probability we need to estimate is

$$D := \{B([a, b]) \cap B(z, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\} = \left\{ \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 0 < \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\} \cup \{B([a, b]) \ni z\} =: D_1 \cup D_2$$

- Observe that the random variable $Z := \inf_{s \in [a,b]} |B(s) z|$ has an atom at 0 and that D_1 and D_2 are disjoint.
- From Proposition 1, it suffices to show that

$$P(D_1) = P(0 < Z \le \varepsilon) \le C\varepsilon$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

for the appropriate constant C.

- It suffices to prove the Proposition for B in \mathbb{R} .
- The event whose probability we need to estimate is

$$D := \{B([a, b]) \cap B(z, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\} = \left\{ \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 0 < \inf_{s \in [a, b]} |B(s) - z| \le \varepsilon \right\} \cup \{B([a, b]) \ni z\} =: D_1 \cup D_2$$

- Observe that the random variable Z := inf_{s∈[a,b]} |B(s) − z| has an atom at 0 and that D₁ and D₂ are disjoint.
- From Proposition 1, it suffices to show that

$$P(D_1) = P(0 < Z \le \varepsilon) \le C\varepsilon$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

for the appropriate constant C.

 To prove this, it would be sufficient to show that, Z has a bounded density on (0, +∞) → Malliavin calculus !

• Let X be a centered random variable in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Define

$$G_{X} := \int_{0}^{+\infty} du e^{-u} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} D_{r} X \ D_{r}^{(u)} X^{(u)} dr\right| \mathcal{F}\right] \left(=\langle DX, -DL^{-1} X \rangle_{H}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where $X^{(u)}$ denotes a random variable with the same law as X, but constructed using a copy B^u of B such that $\operatorname{Corr}(B, B^u) = e^{-u}$.

• Let X be a centered random variable in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Define

$$G_{X} := \int_{0}^{+\infty} du e^{-u} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} D_{r} X \ D_{r}^{(u)} X^{(u)} dr\right| \mathcal{F}\right] \left(=\langle DX, -DL^{-1} X \rangle_{H}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

where $X^{(u)}$ denotes a random variable with the same law as X, but constructed using a copy B^u of B such that $\operatorname{Corr}(B, B^u) = e^{-u}$.

• Let
$$g_X(x) = E[G_X | X = x].$$

• Let X be a centered random variable in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Define

$$G_X := \int_0^{+\infty} du e^{-u} \mathbb{E}\left[\left. \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} D_r X \ D_r^{(u)} X^{(u)} dr \right| \mathcal{F} \right] \left(= \langle DX, -DL^{-1}X \rangle_H \right)$$

where $X^{(u)}$ denotes a random variable with the same law as X, but constructed using a copy B^u of B such that $\operatorname{Corr}(B, B^u) = e^{-u}$.

• Let
$$g_X(x) = E[G_X | X = x].$$

• Then, since $\delta DX = -LX$, for every *f* in C^1 with bounded derivative,

$$\mathrm{E}\left[Xf\left(X\right)\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[g_{X}\left(X\right)f'\left(X\right)\right].$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• Let X be a centered random variable in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$. Define

$$G_{X} := \int_{0}^{+\infty} du e^{-u} \mathbb{E}\left[\left. \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} D_{r} X \ D_{r}^{(u)} X^{(u)} dr \right| \mathcal{F} \right] \left(= \langle DX, -DL^{-1} X \rangle_{H} \right)$$

where $X^{(u)}$ denotes a random variable with the same law as X, but constructed using a copy B^u of B such that $\operatorname{Corr}(B, B^u) = e^{-u}$.

- Let $g_X(x) = E[G_X | X = x].$
- Then, since $\delta DX = -LX$, for every *f* in C^1 with bounded derivative,

$$\mathrm{E}\left[Xf\left(X\right)\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[g_{X}\left(X\right)f'\left(X\right)\right].$$

Proposition 3 : Then supp(X) = [α, β], -∞ ≤ α < 0 < β ≤ +∞.
 Assume there exists α' ∈ (α, 0) such that g_X (x) > 0 for all x ∈ [α', β).
 Then X has a density ρ on [α', β), and for almost every z ∈ [α', β),

$$\rho\left(x\right) = \frac{\mathrm{E}\left[|X|\right]}{2g_{X}\left(x\right)} \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{x} y dy/g_{X}\left(y\right)\right)$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Application of Nourdin-Viens'09 criterion

• Since *Z* is positive and *B* is continuous with a symmetric law, it suffices to study the random variable *G_X* relative to

$$X := \inf_{s \in [a,b]} \left(B\left(s\right) - z \right)_{+} - \mu, \quad \mu := \mathrm{E} \left[\inf_{s \in [a,b]} \left(B\left(s\right) - z \right)_{+} \right].$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Application of Nourdin-Viens'09 criterion

• Since *Z* is positive and *B* is continuous with a symmetric law, it suffices to study the random variable *G*_X relative to

$$X := \inf_{s \in [a,b]} \left(B(s) - z \right)_{+} - \mu, \quad \mu := \mathrm{E} \left[\inf_{s \in [a,b]} \left(B(s) - z \right)_{+} \right].$$

X is supported in [−μ, +∞) and belongs to D^{1,2}. It is sufficient to prove that for any x > −μ,

 $g_{X}(x) \geq c.$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Application of Nourdin-Viens'09 criterion

• Since *Z* is positive and *B* is continuous with a symmetric law, it suffices to study the random variable *G*_X relative to

$$X := \inf_{s \in [a,b]} \left(B(s) - z \right)_{+} - \mu, \quad \mu := \mathrm{E} \left[\inf_{s \in [a,b]} \left(B(s) - z \right)_{+} \right].$$

X is supported in [−μ, +∞) and belongs to D^{1,2}. It is sufficient to prove that for any x > −μ,

$$g_X(x) \geq c.$$

Several computations led to

$$egin{aligned} g_X\left(x
ight) &\geq rac{\gamma^2\left(a
ight)}{2} \int_0^\infty du \; e^{-u} ilde{ ext{P}}\left[\min_{[a,b]} ilde{ extsf{B}} > z \sqrt{ ext{tanh}\left(u/2
ight)}
ight] \ &\geq rac{\gamma^2\left(a
ight)}{2\kappa} F(z). \end{aligned}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem 3 : Assume that

Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, any M > 0, there exists a constant C(a, b, B, M) > 0 such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

 $\mathsf{P}(B([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A).$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Theorem 3 : Assume that

 the function φ(s) = s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is right-continuous and non-decreasing near 0 with lim₀₊ φ = 0;

Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, any M > 0, there exists a constant C(a, b, B, M) > 0 such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

 $P(B([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A).$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem 3 : Assume that

- the function φ(s) = s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is right-continuous and non-decreasing near 0 with lim₀₊ φ = 0;
- γ satisfies the condition (3).

Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, any M > 0, there exists a constant C(a, b, B, M) > 0 such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

 $P(B([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A).$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem 3 : Assume that

- the function φ(s) = s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is right-continuous and non-decreasing near 0 with lim₀₊ φ = 0;
- γ satisfies the condition (3).

Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, any M > 0, there exists a constant C(a, b, B, M) > 0 such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

 $P(B([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A).$

• Recall Theorem 1 : $CC_K(A) \leq P(B([a, b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset)$

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}) := \max\left\{1; \mathbf{v}\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) := \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{b-a} d\mathbf{s}/\gamma^{d}(\mathbf{s}).$$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

Theorem 3 : Assume that

- the function φ(s) = s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is right-continuous and non-decreasing near 0 with lim₀₊ φ = 0;
- γ satisfies the condition (3).

Then for all $0 < a < b < \infty$, any M > 0, there exists a constant C(a, b, B, M) > 0 such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

 $P(B([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A).$

• Recall Theorem 1 : $CC_K(A) \leq P(B([a, b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset)$

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}) := \max\left\{1; \mathbf{v}\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) := \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{b-a} d\mathbf{s}/\gamma^{d}(\mathbf{s}).$$

• Proposition 4 : If $\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{r\gamma'(r)}{\gamma(r)}$ exists, then

$$\mathrm{K} \asymp 1/arphi \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad d > 1/\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{r\gamma'(r)}{\gamma(r)}.$$

 Lemma 3 : Assume that γ(r) = o(r^{1/d}) near 0, that φ(s) := s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is non-decreasing near 0 and Condition (3) holds. Then almost surely, *B* does not hit points.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Lemma 3 : Assume that γ (r) = o(r^{1/d}) near 0, that φ(s) := s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is non-decreasing near 0 and Condition (3) holds.
 Then almost surely, *B* does not hit points.
- Recall Corollary 2 : If $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable at 0, then the process *B* hits points with positive probability.

- Lemma 3 : Assume that γ (r) = o(r^{1/d}) near 0, that φ(s) := s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is non-decreasing near 0 and Condition (3) holds.
 Then almost surely, *B* does not hit points.
- Recall Corollary 2 : If $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable at 0, then the process *B* hits points with positive probability.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• Example : Let $H \in (0, 1)$.

- Lemma 3 : Assume that γ(r) = o(r^{1/d}) near 0, that φ(s) := s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is non-decreasing near 0 and Condition (3) holds. Then almost surely, *B* does not hit points.
- Recall Corollary 2 : If $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable at 0, then the process *B* hits points with positive probability.
- Example : Let $H \in (0, 1)$.
 - If d < 1/H, or if d = 1/H and $\beta > 1/d$, then any process $B^{H,\beta}$ hits points with positive probability.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Lemma 3 : Assume that γ (r) = o(r^{1/d}) near 0, that φ(s) := s^d/γ⁻¹(s) is non-decreasing near 0 and Condition (3) holds.
 Then almost surely, *B* does not hit points.
- Recall Corollary 2 : If $1/\gamma^d$ is integrable at 0, then the process *B* hits points with positive probability.
- Example : Let $H \in (0, 1)$.
 - If d < 1/H, or if d = 1/H and $\beta > 1/d$, then any process $B^{H,\beta}$ hits points with positive probability.

If d > 1/H or if d = 1/H and $\beta < 0$, then any process $B^{H,\beta}$ a.s. does not hit points.

• Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A),$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A),$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

• If d = 1/H, $\beta < 0$, the upper bound still holds and $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A),$$

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 0$, the upper bound still holds and $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H-1} (1/x)$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A),$$

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 0$, the upper bound still holds and $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H-1} (1/x)$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• If d = 1/H, $\beta \ge 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \emptyset) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A),$$

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 0$, the upper bound still holds and $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H-1} (1/x)$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- If d = 1/H, $\beta \ge 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.
- If $d < 1/H < +\infty$ the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \varnothing) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A)$$

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 0$, the upper bound still holds and $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H-1} (1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta \ge 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.
- If $d < 1/H < +\infty$ the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.
- If H = 1, β > 0, d > 1, both bounds hold with φ(x) = x^{d-1} log^β(1/x), so that B does not hit points a.s.

- Recall that $\gamma_{H,\beta}(r) := r^H \log^{\beta}(\frac{1}{r})$.
- If d > 1/H, for all 0 < a < b < 1 and M > 0, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any Borel set $A \subset [-M, M]^d$,

$$C_1\mathcal{C}_{1/\varphi}(A) \leq \mathrm{P}(B^{H,\beta}([a,b]) \cap A \neq \varnothing) \leq C_2\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}(A)$$

where $\varphi(x) = x^{d-\frac{1}{H}} \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.

- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 0$, the upper bound still holds and $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H}(1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta < 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi(x) = \log^{\beta/H-1} (1/x)$.
- If d = 1/H, $\beta \ge 1/d$, the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.
- If $d < 1/H < +\infty$ the lower bound holds with $\varphi \equiv 1$.
- If H = 1, β > 0, d > 1, both bounds hold with φ(x) = x^{d-1} log^β(1/x), so that B does not hit points a.s.
- If *H* = 0, β < −1/2, the lower bound holds with φ = 1, so that *B* hits points with positive probability.

BIERMÉ, H., LACAUX, C. AND XIAO, Y. (2009) Hitting probabilities and the Hausdorff dimension of the inverse images of anisotropic Gaussian random fields, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 41, 253-273.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- BIERMÉ, H., LACAUX, C. AND XIAO, Y. (2009) Hitting probabilities and the Hausdorff dimension of the inverse images of anisotropic Gaussian random fields, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 41, 253-273.
- MOCIOALCA, O. AND VIENS, F. (2004) Skorohod integration and stochastic calculus beyond the fractional Brownian scale, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 222, 385-434.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- BIERMÉ, H., LACAUX, C. AND XIAO, Y. (2009) Hitting probabilities and the Hausdorff dimension of the inverse images of anisotropic Gaussian random fields, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 41, 253-273.
- MOCIOALCA, O. AND VIENS, F. (2004) Skorohod integration and stochastic calculus beyond the fractional Brownian scale, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 222, 385-434.
- NOURDIN, I. AND VIENS, F. (2009), Density estimates and concentration inequalities with Malliavin calculus, *Electronic Journal of Probability* 14, 2287-2309.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

- BIERMÉ, H., LACAUX, C. AND XIAO, Y. (2009) Hitting probabilities and the Hausdorff dimension of the inverse images of anisotropic Gaussian random fields, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 41, 253-273.
- MOCIOALCA, O. AND VIENS, F. (2004) Skorohod integration and stochastic calculus beyond the fractional Brownian scale, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 222, 385-434.
- NOURDIN, I. AND VIENS, F. (2009), Density estimates and concentration inequalities with Malliavin calculus, *Electronic Journal of Probability* 14, 2287-2309.
- NUALART, E. AND VIENS, F. (2009) The fractional stochastic heat equation on the circle : Time regularity and potential theory, *Stochastic Processes and its Applications* 119, 1505-1540.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@

- BIERMÉ, H., LACAUX, C. AND XIAO, Y. (2009) Hitting probabilities and the Hausdorff dimension of the inverse images of anisotropic Gaussian random fields, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 41, 253-273.
- MOCIOALCA, O. AND VIENS, F. (2004) Skorohod integration and stochastic calculus beyond the fractional Brownian scale, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 222, 385-434.
- NOURDIN, I. AND VIENS, F. (2009), Density estimates and concentration inequalities with Malliavin calculus, *Electronic Journal of Probability* 14, 2287-2309.
- NUALART, E. AND VIENS, F. (2009) The fractional stochastic heat equation on the circle : Time regularity and potential theory, *Stochastic Processes and its Applications* 119, 1505-1540.
- NUALART, E. AND VIENS, F. (2013) Hitting probabilities for general Gaussian processes. Preprint.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のQ@