

# STT 871-872 Fall Preliminary Examination

Wednesday, August 28, 2013

12:30 - 5:30 pm

1. Consider the set up in which our data are  $(x_i, Y_i, w_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$ , obeying the model

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + w_i\beta_2 + x_i\beta_3 + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are known constants;  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , and  $\beta_3$  are real parameters; and  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are i.i.d.  $N(0; \sigma^2)$  random errors. Assume that  $\sum_1^n w_i = 0 = \sum_1^n x_i$ . For notation, let  $S_{ww} = \sum w_i^2, S_{xx} = \sum x_i^2, S_{wx} = \sum w_i x_i$  and so on.

a. Write the model in matrix form as  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$  describing entries in the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ . [5]

b. If  $n > 3$ , show that  $\mathbf{X}$  will be full rank iff  $D = S_{xx}S_{ww} - S_{wx}^2 \neq 0$ . [5]

c. Assuming  $\mathbf{X}$  is of full rank, give an explicit formula for the least squares estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  of  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$  (It will involve terms such as  $S_{xx}, S_{xY}$ , etc.). You may use the following fact. [5]

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{xx}/D & -S_{wx}/D \\ 0 & -S_{wx}/D & S_{ww}/D \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. r.v.'s such that for  $\theta > 0$ , they have common density (with respect to Lebesgue measure),

$$\begin{aligned} f_\theta(x) &= x\theta^2 e^{-\theta x}, & x > 0; \\ &= 0, & x \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p = g(\theta) = (1 + \theta)e^{-\theta} = P_\theta(X > 1)$ . The two natural estimators of  $p$  are

$$\tilde{p}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i > 1), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}_n = g(\hat{\theta}_n),$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ .

a. Find the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{p}_n - p)$ . [5]

b. Find the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ , [5]

c. Derive the asymptotic relative efficiency of  $\tilde{p}_n$  with respect to  $\hat{p}_n$ . [5]

3. Let  $\theta > 0$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , be i.i.d. having uniform distribution on  $(0, \theta)$ . Let  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  denote the joint distributions of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , when  $\theta = 1$ , and when  $\theta = 1 - 1/n^p$ ,

respectively, where  $p$  is a fixed positive constant.

a. For which values of  $p$  are  $\{P_n\}$  and  $\{Q_n\}$  mutually contiguous? [5]

b. When  $\{P_n\}$  and  $\{Q_n\}$  mutually contiguous, identify the limit points of the distribution of  $dQ_n/dP_n$ , under  $P_n$ . [5]

4. Let  $X$  be a  $N(\theta, 1)$  r.v., with  $\theta$  in the set of integers  $\mathbf{N} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Consider the problem of estimating of  $\theta$  with the loss function  $L(\theta, a)$  as the 0-1 loss.

a. Suppose an estimator  $T$  is equivariant, i.e., satisfies  $T(x + k) = T(x) + k$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Show that the risk function of  $T$  is constant in  $\theta$ . [5]

b. Let  $S(X) = X - [X]$ , where  $[X]$  is the integer nearest to  $X$ . Show that every equivariant estimate is of the form  $[X] - v(S(X))$ , for some measurable function  $v$  of  $S(X)$ . [5]

c. Find the minimum risk equivariant estimate of  $\theta$ . [5]

d. Which of the three estimates  $X$ ,  $[X]$ , and  $S$ , are (i) sufficient for  $\theta$ , (ii) complete sufficient for  $\theta$ . [5]

5. Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent r.v.'s, with  $X_i$  having  $N(\mu_i, 1)$  distribution. Consider the following hypotheses:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{vs.} \\ H_1 : \mu_i = ab_i \text{ with } b_i \text{ i.i.d. Bernoulli}(p), \text{ independent of all } X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < p < 1$  are known constants. Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)^T$ . Note that under the null hypothesis,  $\mu = \mathbf{0}_{n \times 1}$ .

a. Find the marginal distributions of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  under  $H_1$ . [5]

b. Show that the likelihood ratio statistic for testing (1) is [5]

$$W = \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ 1 + p \left( \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2}\right) \exp(aX_i) - 1 \right) \right\}.$$

c. For a given  $c > 0$ , let  $\varphi_c = I\{W > c\}$  be a test function corresponding to the hypotheses (1). Namely, the test  $\varphi_c$  rejects  $H_0$  if  $W > c$  and accept  $H_0$ , if  $W \leq c$ . Define the risk of  $\varphi_c$  to be

$$\text{Risk}_\pi(\varphi_c) = P_0(W > c) + E_\pi [P_\mu(W \leq c | \mu)].$$

where  $P_0$  is the probability measure under the null hypothesis and  $P_\mu$  is the probability measure under the alternative conditional on  $\mu$ , and the expectation is taken with respect to the distribution  $\pi$  of  $\mu = (ab_1, ab_2, \dots, ab_n)$ . Show that the test  $\varphi_1 = I\{W > 1\}$  minimizes the risk  $\text{Risk}_\pi(\varphi_c)$  w.r.t.  $c > 0$ . [5]

d. Show that the risk of  $\varphi_1$  has a lower bound

$$\text{Risk}_\pi(\varphi_1) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E_0(W^2) - 1},$$

where the expectation  $E_0$  is taken with respect to  $P_0$ . [5]

**6.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , with  $k < \infty$  and  $\{P_\theta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a family of probabilities on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $P_\theta(x) > 0$ , for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

a. Suppose that  $T_n$  is a sequence of estimates such that  $\sup_n E_{\theta_0} T_n^2 < \infty$ . Show that there is a subsequence  $T_{n_i}$  and  $T$  such that, for all  $\theta$ ,  $E_\theta T_{n_i} \rightarrow E_\theta T$ . [5]

b. Suppose that there is no unbiased estimate of the function  $g(\theta)$ . Let  $T_n$  is a sequence of estimates which are asymptotically unbiased, i.e. for all  $\theta$ ,  $E_\theta T_n \rightarrow g(\theta)$ . Show that for all  $\theta$ ,  $\text{Var}_\theta(T_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . [5]

**7.** Suppose  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$  is a bivariate parameter and the parameter space is  $\Theta = \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2$ . Suppose that  $\{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is family of densities such that  $f(x|\theta) > 0$  for all  $x, \theta$ . Suppose  $T_1$  is sufficient for  $\theta_1$ , whenever  $\theta_2$  is fixed and known and  $T_2$  is sufficient for  $\theta_2$ , whenever  $\theta_1$  is fixed and known. Show that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is sufficient for  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ . [5]

**8.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d observations from  $U(\theta - 1, \theta + 1)$  with  $\theta$  in the set of integers  $\mathbf{N} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

a. Find a MLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  such that under 0-1 loss  $\hat{\theta}_n$  has constant risk. [5]

b. Is it consistent ? [5]

c. Show that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is minimax. [5]

d. Show that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is not admissible by constructing an estimate that has 0 risk at  $\theta = 0$ . [5]